

The Glueing Construction and Double Categories

Susan Niefeld

Union College
Schenectady, NY

June 23, 2010

What led to double categories

Motivated by “Powerful Functors” (2001 Note)

Street used Benabou's $\text{Cat}/B \simeq \text{Lax}_N(B^{op}, \mathcal{P}rof)$, and showed

$X \rightarrow B$ is exponentiable $\iff B \rightrightarrows \mathcal{P}rof$ is pseudo

What led to double categories

Motivated by “Powerful Functors” (2001 Note)

Street used Benabou's $\text{Cat}/B \simeq \text{Lax}_N(B^{op}, \mathcal{P}rof)$, and showed

$X \rightarrow B$ is exponentiable $\iff B \rightarrow \mathcal{P}rof$ is pseudo

I used $\text{Lax}(B, \mathcal{B})$ to get analogues for $\mathcal{R}el$ (2003), $\mathcal{P}ar$ (2007)

What led to double categories

Motivated by “Powerful Functors” (2001 Note)

Street used Benabou's $\text{Cat}/B \simeq \text{Lax}_N(B^{op}, \mathcal{P}rof)$, and showed
 $X \rightarrow B$ is exponentiable $\iff B \rightarrow \mathcal{P}rof$ is pseudo

I used $\text{Lax}(B, \mathcal{B})$ to get analogues for $\mathcal{R}el$ (2003), $\mathcal{P}ar$ (2007)

Paré suggested double categories (June 2009)

Where I should have used double categories

1978 PhD Thesis (JPAA 23, 1982)

(\star) $X \subseteq B$ is exponentiable in $\text{Top}/B \Leftrightarrow X$ is locally closed

1980 (Comm Alg 9, 1981)

(\star) for locales and toposes via Artin-Wraith glueing on

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & B_1 \\ F \downarrow & \leftarrow & \downarrow G \\ X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & B_2 \end{array}$$

1998 with Marta Bunge (JPAA 148, 2000)

$P: \text{Cat} \rightarrow \text{GTop}$ preserves exp (i.e., locally closed) subobjects

2000 (TAC 8, 2001)

exponentiability preservation and (\star) for posets

Double Categories

A **double category** \mathbb{D} is a category object in \mathbf{Cat}

$$\mathbb{D}_1 \times_{\mathbb{D}_0} \mathbb{D}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}_1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \mathbb{D}_0$$

Double Categories

A **double category** \mathbb{D} is a category object in Cat

$$\mathbb{D}_1 \times_{\mathbb{D}_0} \mathbb{D}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}_1 \begin{matrix} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{matrix} \mathbb{D}_0$$

Notation:

Horizontal 1-Cells: $X \xrightarrow{f} B$

Vertical 1-Cells: $X_1 \xrightarrow{F} X_2$

2-Cells:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & B_1 \\ F \downarrow & \varphi & \downarrow G \\ X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & B_2 \end{array}$$

Examples

1. $\mathcal{T}\text{opos}$: \mathcal{S} -toposes \mathcal{X} , geom morphisms $\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{B}$, lex $\mathcal{X}_1 \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{X}_2$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \mathcal{B}_1 \\ F \downarrow & \leftarrow & \downarrow G \\ \mathcal{X}_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \mathcal{B}_2 \end{array}$$

2. $\mathcal{L}\text{oc}$: locales X , locale morphisms $X \xrightarrow{f} B$, lex $X_1 \xrightarrow{F} X_2$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & B_1 \\ F \downarrow & \geq & \downarrow G \\ X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & B_2 \end{array}$$

3. $\mathcal{T}\text{op}$: top spaces X , continuous $X \xrightarrow{f} B$, lex $\mathcal{O}(X_1) \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{O}(X_2)$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(X_1) & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \mathcal{O}(B_1) \\ F \downarrow & \supseteq & \downarrow G \\ \mathcal{O}(X_2) & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \mathcal{O}(B_2) \end{array}$$

Examples, cont.

4. Cat: categories X , functors $X \xrightarrow{f} B$, profunctors $X_1 \xrightarrow{F} X_2$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & B_1 \\ F \downarrow & \Rightarrow & \downarrow G \\ X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & B_2 \end{array}$$

5. Pos: posets X , order pres $X \xrightarrow{f} B$, order ideals $X_1 \xrightarrow{F} X_2$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & B_1 \\ F \downarrow & \leq & \downarrow G \\ X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & B_2 \end{array}$$

The Glueing Category

$\text{Lax}_N(B, \mathbb{D})$, for B a small category and \mathbb{D} a double category

The Glueing Category

$\text{Lax}_N(B, \mathbb{D})$, for B a small category and \mathbb{D} a double category

Objects: vertical normal lax functors $B \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{D}$

The Glueing Category

$\text{Lax}_N(B, \mathbb{D})$, for B a small category and \mathbb{D} a double category

Objects: vertical normal lax functors $B \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{D}$

$$b \mapsto Fb, \quad (b \xrightarrow{\beta} b') \mapsto (Fb \xrightarrow{F\beta} Fb'), \quad \text{and}$$

such that $F_{\text{id}_b} = \text{id}_{Fb}$, and coherence

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Fb & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{Fb}} & Fb \\
 F_{\beta} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 Fb' & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\beta, \beta'}} & F_{\beta' \beta} \\
 F_{\beta'} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 Fb'' & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{Fb}} & Fb''
 \end{array}$$

The Glueing Category

$\text{Lax}_N(B, \mathbb{D})$, for B a small category and \mathbb{D} a double category

Objects: vertical normal lax functors $B \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{D}$

$$b \mapsto Fb, \quad (b \xrightarrow{\beta} b') \mapsto (Fb \xrightarrow{F_\beta} Fb'), \quad \text{and}$$

such that $F_{\text{id}_b} = \text{id}_{Fb}$, and coherence

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Fb & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{Fb}} & Fb \\
 F_\beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 Fb' & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\beta, \beta'}} & Fb' \\
 F_{\beta'} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 Fb'' & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{Fb}} & Fb''
 \end{array}$$

Morphisms: horizontal lax transformations $F \xrightarrow{f} G$

$$Fb \xrightarrow{f_b} Gb, \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 Fb & \xrightarrow{f_b} & Gb \\
 F_\beta \downarrow & \tau_\beta & \downarrow G_\beta \\
 Fb' & \xrightarrow{f_{b'}} & Gb'
 \end{array} \quad \text{with coherence}$$

The Glueing Category

$\text{Lax}_N(B, \mathbb{D})$, for B a small category and \mathbb{D} a double category

Objects: vertical normal lax functors $B \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{D}$

$$b \mapsto Fb, \quad (b \xrightarrow{\beta} b') \mapsto (Fb \xrightarrow{F_\beta} Fb'), \quad \text{and}$$

such that $F_{\text{id}_b} = \text{id}_{Fb}$, and coherence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Fb & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{Fb}} & Fb \\ F_\beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Fb' & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\beta, \beta'}} & Fb' \\ F_{\beta'} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Fb'' & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{Fb}} & Fb'' \end{array}$$

Morphisms: horizontal lax transformations $F \xrightarrow{f} G$

$$Fb \xrightarrow{f_b} Gb, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Fb & \xrightarrow{f_b} & Gb \\ F_\beta \downarrow & \tau_\beta & \downarrow G_\beta \\ Fb' & \xrightarrow{f_{b'}} & Gb' \end{array} \quad \text{with coherence}$$

Note $\text{Lax}_N(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{D}) = \text{H}\mathbb{D}$, the horizontal category of \mathbb{D}

Lax Colimits and Glueing

Suppose B is a small category. Then \mathbb{D} has B -indexed lax colimits or B -glueing if the constant functor

$$\mathrm{H}\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{Lax}_N(B, \mathbb{D})$$

has a left adjoint Γ_B . If \mathbb{D} has a (horiz) terminal object T , then so does $\mathrm{Lax}_N(B, \mathbb{D})$ and

$$\Gamma_B: \mathrm{Lax}_N(B, \mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}\mathbb{D}/\Gamma_B T$$

Lax Colimits and Glueing

Suppose B is a small category. Then \mathbb{D} has B -indexed lax colimits or B -glueing if the constant functor

$$\mathrm{H}\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{Lax}_N(B, \mathbb{D})$$

has a left adjoint Γ_B . If \mathbb{D} has a (horiz) terminal object T , then so does $\mathrm{Lax}_N(B, \mathbb{D})$ and

$$\Gamma_B: \mathrm{Lax}_N(B, \mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}\mathbb{D}/\Gamma_B T$$

Theorem 1 Suppose \mathbb{D} has finite (horiz) limits and B -glueing. Then $\Gamma_B: \mathrm{Lax}_N(B, \mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}\mathbb{D}/\Gamma_B T$ has a right adjoint Φ_B .

Lax Colimits and Glueing

Suppose B is a small category. Then \mathbb{D} has B -indexed lax colimits or B -glueing if the constant functor

$$\mathrm{H}\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{Lax}_N(B, \mathbb{D})$$

has a left adjoint Γ_B . If \mathbb{D} has a (horiz) terminal object T , then so does $\mathrm{Lax}_N(B, \mathbb{D})$ and

$$\Gamma_B: \mathrm{Lax}_N(B, \mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}\mathbb{D}/\Gamma_B T$$

Theorem 1 Suppose \mathbb{D} has finite (horiz) limits and B -glueing. Then $\Gamma_B: \mathrm{Lax}_N(B, \mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}\mathbb{D}/\Gamma_B T$ has a right adjoint Φ_B .

Proof. Apply:

Lemma If \mathcal{C} has finite limits, then $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}$ has a right adjoint if and only if $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathcal{D}$ does.



Description of Φ_B

Assume, in addition, that \mathbb{D} has a (vertical) zero object Z and vertical companions and adjoints. Then there are morphisms

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{1}}T \xrightarrow{\Gamma_b} \Gamma_B T$$

$$\Gamma_2 T \xrightarrow{\Gamma_\beta} \Gamma_B T$$

for b and $\beta: b \rightarrow b'$.

Description of Φ_B

Assume, in addition, that \mathbb{D} has a (vertical) zero object Z and vertical companions and adjoints. Then there are morphisms

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{1}}T \xrightarrow{\Gamma_b} \Gamma_B T \qquad \Gamma_2 T \xrightarrow{\Gamma_\beta} \Gamma_B T$$

for b and $\beta: b \rightarrow b'$. Then $\Phi_B(X \xrightarrow{p} \Gamma_B T)$ is given by

$$b \mapsto X_b \qquad \beta \mapsto (X_b \xrightarrow{(i_b)_*} X_\beta \xrightarrow{(i_{b'})^*} X_{b'})$$

where X_b and X_β are defined by the pullbacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_b & \xrightarrow{i_b} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \Gamma_{\mathbb{1}}T & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_b} & \Gamma_B T \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} X_\beta & \xrightarrow{i_b} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \Gamma_2 T & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_\beta} & \Gamma_B T \end{array}$$

Examples

All 5 have zero objects, finite limits, vertical companions/adjoints;
 \mathbb{T} opos has finite lax colimits, and the others have all lax colimits.
Thus, Theorem 1 applies.

Examples

All 5 have zero objects, finite limits, vertical companions/adjoints; $\mathbb{T}\text{opos}$ has finite lax colimits, and the others have all lax colimits. Thus, Theorem 1 applies.

1. $\mathbb{T}\text{opos}$: $Z = \mathbb{1}$, $T = \mathcal{S}$, $\Gamma_B T = \mathcal{S}^{B^{op}}$
2. $\mathbb{L}\text{oc}$: $Z = \mathbb{1}$, $T = \Omega$, $\Gamma_B T = \Omega^{\tilde{B}} = \downarrow \text{Cl } \tilde{B}$, \tilde{B} the poset $|B|/\sim$
3. $\mathbb{T}\text{op}$: $Z = \emptyset$, $T = \mathbb{1}$, $\Gamma_B T = |B|$, “Alexandroff” topology
4. $\mathbb{C}\text{at}$: $Z = \emptyset$, $T = \mathbb{1}$, $\Gamma_B T = B^{op}$
5. $\mathbb{P}\text{os}$: $Z = \emptyset$, $T = \mathbb{1}$, $\Gamma_B T = \tilde{B}^{op}$

Examples

All 5 have zero objects, finite limits, vertical companions/adjoint; $\mathbb{T}\text{opos}$ has finite lax colimits, and the others have all lax colimits. Thus, Theorem 1 applies.

1. $\mathbb{T}\text{opos}$: $Z = \mathbb{1}$, $T = \mathcal{S}$, $\Gamma_B T = \mathcal{S}^{B^{op}}$
2. $\mathbb{L}\text{oc}$: $Z = \mathbb{1}$, $T = \Omega$, $\Gamma_B T = \Omega^{\tilde{B}} = \downarrow \text{Cl } \tilde{B}$, \tilde{B} the poset $|B|/\sim$
3. $\mathbb{T}\text{op}$: $Z = \emptyset$, $T = 1$, $\Gamma_B T = |B|$, “Alexandroff” topology
4. $\mathbb{C}\text{at}$: $Z = \emptyset$, $T = \mathbb{1}$, $\Gamma_B T = B^{op}$
5. $\mathbb{P}\text{os}$: $Z = \emptyset$, $T = 1$, $\Gamma_B T = \tilde{B}^{op}$

Note Lax colimits in $\mathbb{T}\text{op}$ are defined as follows:

Given $B \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{T}\text{op}$, let $\Gamma_B F = \bigsqcup_b Fb$ with $U \subseteq \bigsqcup_b Fb$ is **open** if $U_b = U \cap Fb$ is open in Fb , $\forall b$, and $U_{b'} \subseteq F_{\beta}(U_b)$, $\forall \beta: b \rightarrow b'$.

The Equivalence

Known: $\text{Lax}_N(\mathcal{2}, \mathbb{D}) \simeq \text{HD}/\Gamma_2 \mathcal{T}$ via Γ_2 and Φ_2 , when \mathbb{D} is
Topos, Loc (Comm Alg 9), Cat, Pos (Bénabou).

The Equivalence

Known: $\text{Lax}_N(\mathcal{2}, \mathbb{D}) \simeq \text{HD}/\Gamma_2 \mathcal{T}$ via Γ_2 and Φ_2 , when \mathbb{D} is
 Topos , Loc (Comm Alg 9), Cat , Pos (Bénabou).

Proposition $\Gamma_2 : \text{Lax}_N(\mathcal{2}, \text{Top}) \rightarrow \text{Top}/\mathcal{2}$ is an equivalence.

The Equivalence

Known: $\text{Lax}_N(\mathbb{2}, \mathbb{D}) \simeq \text{HD}/\Gamma_2 T$ via Γ_2 and Φ_2 , when \mathbb{D} is
 Topos , Loc (Comm Alg 9), Cat , Pos (Bénabou).

Proposition $\Gamma_2 : \text{Lax}_N(\mathbb{2}, \text{Top}) \rightarrow \text{Top}/\mathbb{2}$ is an equivalence.

Proof. Given $p: X \rightarrow \mathbb{2}$, let $F0 = p^{-1}0$ and $F1 = p^{-1}1$, with
 $F: \mathcal{O}(F0) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(F1)$ given by the "fringe functor"

$$F(U) = (F0 \Rightarrow U) \cap F1 = \text{Int}_X(U \cup F1) \cap F1$$

The Equivalence

Known: $\text{Lax}_N(\mathbb{2}, \mathbb{D}) \simeq \text{HD}/\Gamma_2 T$ via Γ_2 and Φ_2 , when \mathbb{D} is Topos , Loc (Comm Alg 9), Cat , Pos (Bénabou).

Proposition $\Gamma_2 : \text{Lax}_N(\mathbb{2}, \text{Top}) \rightarrow \text{Top}/\mathbb{2}$ is an equivalence.

Proof. Given $p: X \rightarrow \mathbb{2}$, let $F0 = p^{-1}0$ and $F1 = p^{-1}1$, with $F: \mathcal{O}(F0) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(F1)$ given by the "fringe functor"

$$F(U) = (F0 \Rightarrow U) \cap F1 = \text{Int}_X(U \cup F1) \cap F1$$

Then the continuous bijection $\Gamma_2 F \rightarrow X$ is an open map, since for U open in $\Gamma_2 F$,

$$U = (F0 \Rightarrow U_0) \cap (U_1 \cup F0)$$

and both are open in X .



The Equivalence for finite posets.

Applying induction, we get:

Theorem 2 Suppose B is a finite poset, \mathbb{D} has finite limits and B -indexed lax colimits, and Γ_2 is an equivalence. Then

$$\Gamma_B : \text{Lax}_N(B, \mathbb{D}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{HD} / \Gamma_B T$$

The Equivalence for finite posets.

Applying induction, we get:

Theorem 2 Suppose B is a finite poset, \mathbb{D} has finite limits and B -indexed lax colimits, and Γ_2 is an equivalence. Then

$$\Gamma_B : \text{Lax}_N(B, \mathbb{D}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{HD}/\Gamma_B T$$

Corollary Suppose B is a finite poset. Then:

1. $\text{Lax}_N(B, \text{Topos}) \simeq \text{Topos}/\mathcal{S}^{B^{op}}$
2. $\text{Lax}_N(B, \text{Loc}) \simeq \text{Loc}/\downarrow \text{Cl}B$
3. $\text{Lax}_N(B, \text{Top}) \simeq \text{Top}/B$
4. $\text{Lax}_N(B^{op}, \text{Cat}) \simeq \text{Cat}/B$
5. $\text{Lax}_N(B^{op}, \text{Pos}) \simeq \text{Pos}/B$

Application: Locally Closed Subobjects

Suppose D, D_1 are objects of \mathbb{D} . Then D_1 is **locally closed** in D , if $D = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{z}} F$ and $D_1 = F1$, for some $F: \mathfrak{z} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, where $\mathfrak{z} : 0 \leq 1 \leq 2$.

Application: Locally Closed Subobjects

Suppose D, D_1 are objects of \mathbb{D} . Then D_1 is **locally closed** in D , if $D = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{B}}F$ and $D_1 = F1$, for some $F: \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, where $\mathfrak{B}: 0 \leq 1 \leq 2$.

Then D_1 arises by glueing $Z \rightarrow D_1 \rightarrow Z$, there is a monomorphism $D_1 \rightarrow D$ in \mathbf{HD} , and $\mathbf{Lax}_N(\mathfrak{B}, \mathbb{D})/F \simeq \mathbf{HD}/D$, if \mathbb{D} satisfies (1)–(4):

- (1) \mathbb{D} has finite horizontal limits
- (2) \mathbb{D} has a zero object Z
- (3) \mathbb{D} has \mathfrak{B} -indexed lax colimits
- (4) $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{B}}: \mathbf{Lax}_N(\mathfrak{B}, \mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbf{HD}/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{B}}T$ is an equivalence
- (5) \mathbb{D} has vertical companions and vertical adjoints

Application: Locally Closed Subobjects

Suppose D, D_1 are objects of \mathbb{D} . Then D_1 is **locally closed** in D , if $D = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{B}}F$ and $D_1 = F1$, for some $F: \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, where $\mathfrak{B}: 0 \leq 1 \leq 2$.

Then D_1 arises by glueing $Z \rightarrow D_1 \rightarrow Z$, there is a monomorphism $D_1 \rightarrow D$ in \mathbf{HD} , and $\mathbf{Lax}_N(\mathfrak{B}, \mathbb{D})/F \simeq \mathbf{HD}/D$, if \mathbb{D} satisfies (1)–(4):

- (1) \mathbb{D} has finite horizontal limits
- (2) \mathbb{D} has a zero object Z
- (3) \mathbb{D} has \mathfrak{B} -indexed lax colimits
- (4) $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{B}}: \mathbf{Lax}_N(\mathfrak{B}, \mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbf{HD}/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{B}}T$ is an equivalence
- (5) \mathbb{D} has vertical companions and vertical adjoints

Examples All five examples satisfy (1)–(5).

Exponentiability of Locally Closed Subobjects

Theorem 3 If \mathbb{D} satisfies (1)–(5), then inclusions of locally closed subobjects are exponentiable in $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{D}/D$.

Exponentiability of Locally Closed Subobjects

Theorem 3 If \mathbb{D} satisfies (1)–(5), then inclusions of locally closed subobjects are exponentiable in $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{D}/D$.

Proof. Suppose $i: D_1 \rightarrow D$ is locally closed via F on the right below, and define a right adjoint Π_i to $i^*: \mathbb{H}\mathbb{D}/D \rightarrow \mathbb{H}\mathbb{D}/D_1$ as follows. Given $p_1: X_1 \rightarrow D_1$, consider $X = \Gamma_3 G$, where G is on the left below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 D_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{D_0}} & D_0 \\
 (p_1)^* F_1^0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 X_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & D_1 \\
 F_2^1(p_1)^* \downarrow & & \downarrow F_2^0 \\
 D_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{D_0}} & D_2
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 D_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{D_0}} & D_0 \\
 F_1^0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 D_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & D_1 \\
 F_2^1 \downarrow & & \downarrow F_2^0 \\
 D_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{D_0}} & D_2
 \end{array}$$

Exponentiability of Locally Closed Subobjects

Theorem 3 If \mathbb{D} satisfies (1)–(5), then inclusions of locally closed subobjects are exponentiable in $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{D}/D$.

Proof. Suppose $i: D_1 \rightarrow D$ is locally closed via F on the right below, and define a right adjoint Π_i to $i^*: \mathbb{H}\mathbb{D}/D \rightarrow \mathbb{H}\mathbb{D}/D_1$ as follows. Given $p_1: X_1 \rightarrow D_1$, consider $X = \Gamma_3 G$, where G is on the left below. Take $\Pi_i(p_1)$ to be the morphism $p: X \rightarrow D$ obtained by glueing the transformation $F \rightarrow G$ defined by $(\text{id}_{D_0}, p_1, \text{id}_{D_2})$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 D_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{D_0}} & D_0 \\
 (\rho_1)^* F_1^0 \downarrow & & \downarrow F_2^0 \\
 X_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & D_1 \\
 F_2^1 (p_1)^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 D_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{D_0}} & D_2
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 D_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{D_0}} & D_0 \\
 F_1^0 \downarrow & & \downarrow F_2^0 \\
 D_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & D_1 \\
 F_2^1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 D_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{D_0}} & D_2
 \end{array}$$

□

Exponentiability of Locally Closed Subobjects

Theorem 3 If \mathbb{D} satisfies (1)–(5), then inclusions of locally closed subobjects are exponentiable in $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{D}/D$.

Proof. Suppose $i: D_1 \rightarrow D$ is locally closed via F on the right below, and define a right adjoint Π_i to $i^*: \mathbb{H}\mathbb{D}/D \rightarrow \mathbb{H}\mathbb{D}/D_1$ as follows. Given $p_1: X_1 \rightarrow D_1$, consider $X = \Gamma_3 G$, where G is on the left below. Take $\Pi_i(p_1)$ to be the morphism $p: X \rightarrow D$ obtained by glueing the transformation $F \rightarrow G$ defined by $(\text{id}_{D_0}, p_1, \text{id}_{D_2})$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 D_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{D_0}} & D_0 \\
 (\rho_1)^* F_1^0 \downarrow & & \downarrow F_2^0 \\
 X_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & D_1 \\
 F_2^1(p_1)^* \downarrow & & \downarrow F_2^1 \\
 D_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{D_0}} & D_2
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 D_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{D_0}} & D_0 \\
 F_1^0 \downarrow & & \downarrow F_2^0 \\
 D_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & D_1 \\
 F_2^1 \downarrow & & \downarrow F_2^1 \\
 D_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{D_0}} & D_2
 \end{array}$$

□

Note This gives a construction of exponentials for all 5 examples!